

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROBERT JOSEPH MCCARTY,
 Plaintiff(s),
 vs.
 JOHN V. ROOS, et al.,
 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:11-cv-01538-JCM-NJK
 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
 RECONSIDERATION
 (Docket No. 210)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' motions to stay. Docket No. 210. Reconsideration of an order is appropriate if the Court "(1) is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling authority." *Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County, Or. v. AC&S, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). The Court has carefully reviewed Plaintiff's arguments in his motion for reconsideration and finds that they do not warrant reconsideration of the Court's order. Accordingly, the motion for reconsideration is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 1, 2013



 NANCY J. KOPPE
 United States Magistrate Judge